



FLAT EARTH PSYOP

PSYOP: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Psychological_Operations_\(United_States\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Psychological_Operations_(United_States))

Psychological operations (PSYOP) are planned operations to convey selected information and indicators to audiences to influence their emotions, motives, and objective reasoning, and ultimately the behaviour of governments, organizations, groups, and individuals.

By Wolfgang Flatow

A collection of responses to this nonsense

I have done this to assist friends and family who have been taken in by, fallen victim to, this COINTELPRO operation (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/COINTELPRO>).

It is a nasty divisive operation, part of divide and conquer, designed to propagate disinformation, uncertainty and enmity.

The deceptions implemented have been found to be very sneaky and calculating. I can just see this being part of a recruitment test for spooks "Provide 10 proofs the Earth is Flat" and they pick the best ones to further this PSYOP.

Truth is not affected by Lies. We have been lied to for centuries and its time we used our own experience, observations, logic and common sense to expose them.

Fundamentals

I will open my total dismissal of Flat Earth doctrine with the observation that "You see different star fields in the Northern and Southern Hemisphere". This is utterly impossible on a flat plane - unless you introduce a projection dome over the entire flat Earth and claim some "super projector" is projecting different stars above different locations, or perhaps light does not travel in straight lines... When you have a simple and consistent formula and physics that can model the Sky and predict the location of every star or planet at any time on any location on (Spherical) Earth - without the introduction of super domes and super projectors then you can choose to insist on fitting the universe into a model you prefer or take on board the model that is suggested by the universe...

The **3D Spherical physics and maths** as used by science today function consistently and universally! We do not (need to) use one model for Earth and one for the rest of the Universe.

See this in action here: <https://www.solarsystemscope.com>.

It can predict, for any point on Earth at any time, the following:

- Sunrise, Sunset
- Position of Sun and every planet, moon and star
- Phase of Moon
- Motion of all celestial objects, including Earth

You cannot navigate the Earth with flat plain Euclidian geometry - all navigation systems involve Spherical Geometry. A Nautical Mile = **1 minute of arc** of surface curvature on Earth **as measured from Earths Centre** and that is the reason Nautical Miles are still used by all navigators and navigation charts!

There are many more Flat Earth PSYOP claims and videos, all in the same vein. This is as far as I will go. If you read all of this and still think we are on a flat Earth, **DO NOT SEND ME ANY OTHER CLAIMS OR QUESTIONS.**

Now see my various responses to videos and documents below.

200 Proofs Earth is Not a Spinning Ball!

Pdf Link:

<https://bananachan.neocities.org/200%20Proofs%20Earth%20is%20Not%20a%20Spinning%20Ball!.pdf>

My Response:

I have now addressed the first 100 claims in “200 Proofs” (not a single ‘proof’ to be found alongside much deception and trickery):

- 1) “Completely Flat Horizon” is a relative term. Fake CGI pictures is an unsubstantiated claim
- 2) Complete boncus “horizon always rises to level of the Observer”. Relative Term. Unsubstantiated.
- 3) Spherical gravity works equally well to maintain ‘level’ ‘parallel’ to spherical surface.
- 4) Complete boncus. Trying to jam flat gravity on a spherical gravity model.
- 5) Same as 4.
- 6) Of course – level as measured in spherical gravity.
- 7) Flat engineering is OK within certain dimensions. Railways lines easily conform to Earths curvature.
- 8) Sea level follows the curve.
- 9) Same as 7.
- 10) Utter tripe.
- 11) Same as 7. Trying to jam flat gravity on a spherical gravity model.
- 12) You do not need to account for physical curvature when it is matched by gravitational curvature.
- 13) Why use a 19th century experiment – no proof.
- 14) Another ancient experiment
- 15) Yet again – curved surface is matched by curved gravity – level flight within curved gravity follows the curve.
- 16) “Airys Failure” ??? Goodness gracious...
- 17) “Olbers Paradox” Utter and absolute crap – they are getting desperate now.
- 18) “Michelson Morley Experiment” has nothing whatsoever to do with shape of Earth.
- 19) Tycho Brahe has not calculated the infinitesimal parallax caused by 190,000,000 miles of movement against multiple light years of distance.
- 20) – 29) Surface, Atmosphere and Gravity spin together negating relative motion between these layers.
- 30) OMG, clouds move in different directions at different levels - 'The Earth must be flat'...
- 31) Imaginary rambling by an idiot.
- 32) Gravity is a constant relationship of mass and distance. It acts equally at atomic scale.
- 33) Another attempt to impose flat gravity on a sphere that has spherical gravity.
- 34) A blatant lie. Spherical geometry is required to Navigate the Earth. Plain Sailing is between visual landmarks and can use flat geometry as the scale is small. You cannot navigate the Earth with flat plain Euclidian geometry – all navigation systems involve Spherical Geometry. A Nautical Mile = 1 minute of arc on the surface of the Earth as measured from Earths Centre.
- 35) Unsubstantiated and generalised claim.
- 36) Polar latitudes amplify reckoning errors as the longitude circles get smaller.
- 37) Same as 36).
- 38) Trying to blame shipwrecks on spherical earth. Goodness me. See 36).

- 39) This really shows the kind of trickery being used in this awful document. They use longitude only! The 1550 statute miles is NOT 22 degrees 2'14" (base) – it is the “angle distance (hypotenuse).
- 40) Same as 39). Distance is given as crow flies (hypotenuse) and multiplied by a ratio calculated from the longitude (base). Awful trickery.
- 41) Same as 39).
- 42) Unsubstantiated claim. Distance clocked does not equal to distance covered as the crow flies. Imagine what navigation through Icebergs etc would do to your navigation. Another poor attempt at trickery.
- 43) Oh, off course, the Earth is Flat because I cannot get a direct flight – OMG...
- 44) See 43).
- 45) See 43).
- 46) See 43).
- 47) See 43).
- 48) See 43).
- 49) Erroneous claim. Solar temperature is affected by distance of atmosphere it must penetrate, which increases dramatically toward the poles.
- 50) What a bald faced lie. It's just as cold on both poles (Sea Water Freezes). Oh, they have different fauna – the Earth must be Flat. OMG.
- 51) South Pole is a continent – Antarctica – with high altitudes and great distance from moderating Ocean. North Pole is all Ocean. North Pole SHOULD be warmer.
- 52) OMG, different near polar Islands have different temperatures and species – this proves exactly what?
- 53) Unsubstantiated claim. Astronomical formulas for length of day at different latitudes and seasons dispute this.
- 54) Same as 53).
- 55) Sun does not circle – Earth Rotates. Sun does not sweep over anything. The false claim ‘perfectly explains’ nothing.
- 56) Erroneous claim – full day solar circles at the poles are visible from 66.5 to 90 degrees North ***and*** South.
- 57) Unsubstantiated claims.
- 58) Unsubstantiated claims.
- 59) Trying to make a point with a zero degree tilted axis when it fact it is a 23.5 degree tilted axis which creates the sunset-sunrise variation.
- 60) Utter tripe. The board is drawn as though it was 500 km long. Further trickery.
- 61) Similar to 60). Tilt would only be visible at GREAT distances.
- 62) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bedford_Level_experiment Refraction Error. Also causes mirages.
- 63) Same as 62)
- 64) Kind of proves spherical earth really. Again using Flat Earth Gravity thinking (*The ship coming into view from the east would have to ascend an inclined plane for 20 miles*).
- 65) Same as 64). Masthead disappearing last proves spherical Earth.
- 66) Rowbotham is a discredited charlatan and has been sued for fraud and libel.
- 67) Use <http://www.ringbell.co.uk/info/hdist.htm>. Enter 1994 feet (mountain=55.4 miles) add to 100 feet (observer=12.3 miles) you get 67.7 miles. It is greater than 60 miles - visible. They just use the observer height and not the target height... More trickery.
- 68) Same as 67). Enter 205 feet (observer=17.5 miles) add to 350 feet (buildings=22.9 miles). It is greater than 40 miles – visible.
- 69) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 70) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 71) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 72) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.

- 73) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 74) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 75) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 76) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 77) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 78) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 79) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 80) A 1895 sailor story unsubstantiated.
- 81) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 82) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 83) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 84) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 85) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 86) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 87) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 88) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 89) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 90) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 91) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 92) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 93) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 94) Isle of Wight is 116160 feet in width. The 80 foot drop at each side is 0.0007 of the width and would not show on a theodolite crosshair. The diagram shows a drop of approximately 0.25.
- 95) Same as 67). They just use the observer height and not the target height.
- 96) Unsubstantiated claim.
- 97) Once a train settles at a speed on smooth straight track, the passengers do not notice their speed while having their dinner... They have to look outside!
- 98) Slandering Scientists attempted alongside a blatant lie – the explanation is that the Earth Axis points at the North Star.
- 99) A misleading lie. No, Polaris is NOT visible from the Southern Hemisphere at sea level. Perhaps at great Altitude or from a plane, but only near the equator. You CANNOT see Polaris from Australia.
- 100) Utter Tripe.

The items 101 to 200 have been scanned and dismissed equally as firmly, in fact it gets progressively more marginal and tenuous.

It is fitting that the final 'proof' is from Rowbotham - a discredited charlatan.

The UN map (North Pole Centric) is commonly used to 'prove' flat earth. Think about it – the longitudinal distances would dramatically, continuously and evenly increase from North to South Pole, which they do not. It would surely be obvious to all navigators should this be the case. Latitude to Latitude navigation in the Southern Hemisphere would take 10 or more time longer compared to the Northern.

Further, all matter is 3 Dimensional and assembles itself in 3 dimensionally balanced forms – a Flat Plane is NOT 3 dimensionally balanced!

I will also address one prevalent item claimed in many flat earth videos etc – The Sun spinning in a constant circle above and parallel to the Earth's Surface.

If that was the case the Sun would NEVER set. It would gradually get closer and closer to the surface, slowing its apparent movement, but NEVER set. It would be seen sliding side to side, constantly above the horizon. This is obviously NOT what happens. The Sun goes directly down, sets completely and no sunlight is visible at night. Well, really, as you must know, the Earth is rotating about itself giving the illusion that the Sun (and all other celestial bodies) rotate around us...

Top 10 LAME Reasons Show Earth is NOT Round!

Video Link:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gy_xGdqKqfU

My Response:

The claimed "stupidest" reason is perfectly sane. His only defence is to call it stupid.

The only stupid thing is "to observe with your own eye that every heavenly body is spherical, AND is in orbit, AND spinning around itself AND spinning around on its orbit" - and then to assume Earth is any different. THAT IS STUPID.

Light Dark - spinning Sphere explains that perfectly - the flat rendition for some reason terminates the 'yellow circle' at the 'north pole' (or flat Earth center) - WHY? It would be visible at all times at all locations! It might get more or less bright, but at no point is it 'not visible' from the entire flat surface.

I don't care about coriolis effect - I cannot check out everyone's dunnies to see which way around they flush. I do not need it to know the Earth is a sphere.

The Triangle. The fool claims it is wrong - yet it is perfectly correct - in SPHERICAL GEOMETRY. Of course, it is not a triangle with straight lines (flat earth thinking) it is a triangle with 'circular' sides (spherical geometry). Then the fool says it is wrong because of the 'distance to walk' (you need to walk 10,000 km to prove your point...) Well, yes, the distance must be one quarter of the circumference (well close enough, Earth circumference = 40,075km) at which point 3 X 90 degree corners take you back to the starting point.

The next perfectly correct proof is dismissed by the words "assuming a curved surface". Umm yes, a curved surface, that is no counter argument...

Then there is hearsay that some woman proved something. I only have so much time, do I need to watch this also?

Etc and so forth... do I need go on? It seems that I can spend the rest of my life explaining something that was solved long ago.

Ancient Sumerian tablets describe in great detail the business of Solar System, the Earth as the 3rd planet from the Sun, the orbits, landing on Earth and describing it from space.

These are all in the same vein, more misdirection, more calling things "sleight of hand" by a fool (or a shill) who is himself using "sleight of hand".

Planes flying on a spinning ball

Video:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aehel0IBDqQ>

My Response:

Speed of surface rotation:

This is all based on the misapplication of surface rotation speeds.

Fact is that surface rotation speeds do NOT enter into air travel computations within the atmosphere.

The fact of the Earth spinning on its own axis includes the atmosphere.

All computations of air travel are relative to the atmosphere.

While Jet Streams are related to rotation of Earth, they are not related to the surface speed of rotation, rather the re-direction of conventional air temperature variations.

The average air speed over time over a given spot on the ground - while not zero - is relatively low. One way to measure and prove this is to take the wind speed readings from say Maleny over one year and average it, you will have a speed in the range of 5 - 15 kph, in any case, nothing like the 900 odd kph Maleny is rotating at the surface of Earth. This will apply all around the World.

The entire video incorrectly makes computations relative to surface rotational speeds when it is all just relative to Air Speed.

The entire premise is flawed as it ignores the well known fact that THE ATMOSPHERE ROTATES WITH THE EARTH.

Planes having to dip their nose down to follow the Earths Curvature Down:

Fundamentally (and as has been addressed in the 100 answers), flying level in curved gravity will keep you level over the curved ground.

Another flawed assumption (made in flat world land) . You fly a plane relative to gravity (which is curved) not a cube full of air.

I think this video was actually recorded during his spook recruitment...